

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,219	10/14/2005	Peter Graf	17233-012	8970
7.	590 10/31/2006		EXAM	INER
Joseph A Calvaruso			PATEL, KIRAN B	
Chadbourne &	Parke			
30 Rockefeller Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10112			3612	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/553,219	GRAF, PETER
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	·		
<del></del> .	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Kiran B. Patel	he correspondence address
Period f	or Reply		no con coponacios address
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INTERIOR OF THE MAILING INTERIOR OF THE MAILING INTERIOR OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. OF period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature properly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 (	October 2005	
2a) [		is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under		
Dienocit		•	•
	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18-35</u> is/are pending in the application		
<b>E</b> \_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)∐	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	an alaska a saa ta	
0)[	Claim(s) <u>18-35</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	·
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by ti	he Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	•		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C, § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a) <sub> </sub>	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eived in this National Stage
	application from the International Burea	` '''	
^ \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rece	eived.
Attachmen	t(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/15/05</u> .	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Application/Control Number: 10/553,219 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

## **Detailed Action**

Election (10/26/06)

1. This application, <u>as best understood</u>, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - directed towards Fig. 1, 2

Species B - directed towards Fig. 3

Species C - directed towards Fig. 4, 5

Species D - directed towards Fig. 6

Species E - directed towards Fig. 7.

- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. <u>As best understood</u>, Examiner has identified the Species (A, B, C, etc.) but Applicant may amend, with a detailed explanation, the composition of each species (A, B, C, etc.) to include associated/respective figures/limitations to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which is generic to all species.
- 3. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include <u>an</u>
  <u>identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a</u>
  <u>listing of all claims readable *only* on the elected species, including any claims</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/553,219

Art Unit: 3612

<u>subsequently added</u>. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP [] 809.02(a).
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. A telephone call was made for the Attorney/Agent responsible for this application to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,219

Art Unit: 3612

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if

one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least

one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be

accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(I).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should

be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-

272-6665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E.

Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

October 26, 2006